
Anthony Lane Batman

Anthony
Lane Batman

Downloaded from
chat.gizadeathstar.com
by Sanaa Foley

ANTHONY LANE BATMAN: A CRITIC'S UNFORGETTABLE TAKE ON THE CAPED CRUSADER

For decades, the figure of Batman has loomed large over both popular culture and serious film criticism. No actor, director, or studio seems able to resist the gravitational pull of Gotham City. Yet, among the countless voices that have weighed in on the Dark Knight's cinematic journey, few have been as consistently sharp,

elegant, and unexpectedly moving as that of **Anthony Lane**. As the longtime film critic for *The New Yorker*, Lane has reviewed nearly every major Batman release of the last twenty-five years, and his perspectives have become essential reading for fans who crave more than just plot summaries. This article explores the unique relationship between Anthony Lane and Batman, examining his most memorable reviews, his evolving stance on the franchise, and why his critical voice

remains so vital in an era of superhero saturation.

Who is Anthony Lane? A Brief Introduction to a Singular Critic

Before diving into the specifics of **Anthony Lane Batman** reviews, it is worth understanding the man behind the byline. Anthony Lane is a British journalist who has been a staff writer at *The New Yorker*

since 1993. He is known for his witty, urbane prose, his deep knowledge of film history, and his ability to pivot from highbrow analysis to gleeful, lowbrow humor within a single paragraph. Unlike many contemporary critics who focus heavily on plot mechanics or fan service, Lane writes about film as an art form—and as a cultural event. His reviews of Batman movies are particularly fascinating because they reveal a critic who respects the source material but refuses to simply cheerlead for it. He holds the franchise to the same standards he would apply to a period drama or an art-house release, which makes his praise all the more valuable and his criticism all the more

pointed.

The Dark Knight (2008): Lane's Landmark Review of a Landmark Film

No discussion of **Anthony Lane Batman** criticism can begin anywhere other than his 2008 review of *The Dark Knight*. Christopher Nolan's follow-up to *Batman Begins* was a cultural

phenomenon, and Lane's review captured both the film's monumental ambition and its darker undercurrents. He opened his piece by acknowledging the sheer weight of the project, noting that Nolan had essentially made a crime epic that happened to feature a man in a bat suit. Lane was particularly struck by Heath Ledger's performance as the Joker, describing it with a mixture of awe and unease. He wrote that Ledger's Joker was "a creature of pure, undiluted anarchy," and he praised the actor for refusing to explain or psychoanalyze the character. Lane's review was not without its critiques, however. He questioned the film's overstuffed plot

and its occasionally muddled moral thesis. Yet, he ultimately crowned *The Dark Knight* as a triumph, arguing that it had elevated the superhero genre to a place it had never been before. For fans searching for a deep, thoughtful analysis of the film, Lane's review remains a gold standard.

Comparin g the Caped Crusader s: From Bale to

Pattinson

One of the most delightful aspects of reading **Anthony Lane Batman** pieces over the years is watching him compare the various actors who have donned the cowl. Lane has always been keenly interested in the performance beneath the mask. In his review of *Batman Begins* (2005), he noted that Christian Bale brought a "troubled intensity" to Bruce Wayne, but he also gently mocked the actor's famously gruff Batman voice, suggesting that it sounded like a man trying to speak while gargling gravel. For Lane, Bale was a serious actor doing serious work, but the voice was a constant

source of amusement. Fast forward to 2022, and Lane's review of *The Batman*, directed by Matt Reeves and starring Robert Pattinson, was one of the most anticipated pieces of criticism of the year. Lane was surprisingly generous toward Pattinson, whom he had previously known primarily as the brooding heartthrob from *Twilight*. He praised Pattinson for playing Bruce Wayne not as a billionaire playboy, but as a reclusive, emotionally stunted loner who barely functions outside of his crime-fighting persona. Lane wrote that Pattinson's Batman was "less a superhero and more a diagnosis," a man driven not by justice but by unresolved

trauma. This nuanced take resonated deeply with readers who felt that *The Batman* had finally captured the character's psychological core. Lane's willingness to embrace this grittier, more vulnerable version of Batman showed that he was not a mere nostalgist pining for the Nolan era; he was a critic open to reinvention.

Batman v Superma n: Dawn of Justice (2016): A

Critical Takedown

n

Not every Batman movie earned Anthony Lane's approval, and his review of *Batman v Superman: Dawn of Justice* is a masterclass in elegant demolition. Lane was clearly baffled by Zack Snyder's grim, portentous take on the characters. He described Ben Affleck's Batman as "a weary, middle-aged brute" who seemed less interested in justice than in inflicting pain. Lane lamented the film's humorlessness, its bloated runtime, and its nonsensical plot twists. He particularly mocked the infamous "Martha" moment,

calling it a storytelling device so clumsy that it felt like a parody of itself. For Lane, *Batman v Superman* represented everything that could go wrong when a studio prioritizes franchise-building over storytelling. His review was scathing but never mean-spirited; it was the work of a critic who genuinely wanted the movie to be good and was disappointed that it wasn't. This review became a rallying point for fans who felt vindicated in their own frustrations with the film.

The Joker and the

Question of Tone

Lane's coverage of the Batman universe extends beyond the main films themselves. He also reviewed Todd Phillips' *Joker* (2019), a film that exists in a separate continuity but is undeniably tied to the Batman mythos. In his review, Lane grappled with the film's controversial tone. He acknowledged Joaquin Phoenix's ferocious, physically transformative performance, but he expressed deep unease with the movie's politics. Lane worried that *Joker* was using the aesthetics of 1970s Scorsese films (specifically *Taxi Driver* and *The King of Comedy*) to dress up

what was essentially a shallow, potentially dangerous celebration of violence. He wrote that the film wanted to have it both ways—condemning violence while luxuriating in it. This review sparked considerable debate, with some readers praising Lane for his moral clarity and others accusing him of missing the point. Regardless of where one stands, Lane's *Joker* review is a crucial part of his Batman-related canon, as it highlights his deep concerns about the direction of the genre.

Anthony Lane's

Writing Style: Why His Batman Reviews Stand Out

What makes **Anthony Lane** **Batman** criticism so consistently enjoyable is not just his opinion, but his manner of expressing it. Lane writes with a rare combination of intelligence and wit. He can craft a sentence that dissects a director's entire visual philosophy and then follow it with a joke

that makes you laugh out loud. For example, in his review of *The Dark Knight Rises* (2012), he described Bane's muffled voice as sounding like "a man delivering a sermon from inside a duvet." That kind of playful, vivid language is pure Lane.

Moreover, Lane never forgets that Batman, for all his mythic weight, is still a character who originated in comic books. He respects the source material without being enslaved by it. He understands that Batman is fundamentally a figure of fantasy and that taking him too seriously can lead to pomposity. At the same time, he refuses to dismiss the character as mere children's

entertainment. Lane's reviews consistently occupy that sweet spot between reverence and irreverence, which is precisely why they appeal to such a broad audience.

Semantic Themes in Lane's Batman Criticism

When you read enough of **Anthony Lane Batman** reviews, certain recurring themes emerge. One is the question of darkness. Lane is wary of filmmakers who mistake grimness for depth. He has praised Nolan and Reeves for earning their dark

tones through strong storytelling, but he has criticized Snyder for simply draping everything in shadow and calling it profound. Another theme is the role of the city. Lane is fascinated by how each director envisions Gotham. He loved the grimy, rain-soaked Chicago of Nolan's films, and he was equally impressed by the decaying, gothic New York of Reeves's *The Batman*. For Lane, Gotham is not just a backdrop; it is a character in its own right, and he judges each film partly on how effectively it brings that city to life.

The

Cultural

Context:

Superhero

to Fatigue

and

Lane's

Place in It

As the superhero genre has come to dominate Hollywood, Lane has become an increasingly important voice of measured skepticism. He is not anti-superhero; he has praised several comic book movies, including *Logan* and *Spider-Man: Into the Spider-Verse*. But he is wary of the homogenizing effect that franchise

filmmaking has on cinema. In his Batman reviews, he often steps back to ask broader questions: Why do we keep telling these stories? What do our repeated returns to Gotham say about our cultural anxieties? This meta-critical layer elevates his reviews beyond simple thumbs-up or thumbs-down judgments. He is engaging with the cultural phenomenon of Batman as much as he is with the individual films.

Fan

Reactions

and the

Legacy of

Lane's Batman Writing

It is fair to say that Anthony Lane's Batman reviews have a dedicated following of their own. On forums like Reddit and Letterboxd, fans frequently cite his lines and share his observations. Some fans disagree vehemently with his takes—particularly his criticisms of *The Dark Knight Rises* and his lukewarm response to certain moments in the Nolan trilogy—but they read him anyway because his writing is so compelling. Lane has become, for many, a trusted guide through the chaotic landscape of modern

blockbuster cinema. When a new Batman movie is announced, a significant portion of the fandom immediately begins to wonder, "What will Anthony Lane think?" That is the mark of a critic who has truly made an impact.

Conclusion: Why Anthony Lane Matters for Batman

Fans

In an age where film criticism is often reduced to hot takes and star ratings, Anthony Lane reminds us that reviewing a movie can be an art form in itself. His body of work on the Batman franchise is a treasure trove for anyone who loves cinema and wants to understand why the Dark Knight endures. Through his sharp wit, his deep knowledge, and his genuine engagement

with each film on its own terms, Lane has given us not just reviews, but lasting cultural commentary. Whether he is celebrating Pattinson's haunted Bruce Wayne or dismantling Snyder's grim extravagance, Lane writes with a passion that is contagious. For anyone interested in the intersection of film criticism and superhero mythology, the writings of Anthony Lane on Batman are essential reading.