
The Necklace Short Story

PLOT SUMMARY: FROM DREAMS
The Necklace Short Story by Guy de Maupassant
chat.gizadeathstar.com by Herrera Buckley

THE ENDURING POWER OF THE NECKLACE SHORT STORY BY GUY DE MAUPASSANT

Few stories in literary history capture the devastating consequences of pride and misplaced desire as powerfully as "The Necklace" by Guy de Maupassant. This deceptively simple tale, first published in 1884 under the French title *La Parure*, remains a cornerstone of short fiction. Its exploration of vanity, social aspiration, and the cruel twist of fate continues to resonate with readers over a century later. In this comprehensive analysis, we will delve into the plot, characters, themes, and literary techniques that make this short story an enduring masterpiece. We will explore why the narrative holds such a firm grip on the popular imagination and how its lessons remain profoundly relevant in the modern world.

"The Necklace" is more than just a story about a lost piece of jewelry. It is a profound meditation on the human condition, the gap between appearance and reality, and the often-punishing cost of a single moment of dishonesty. By weaving a tight narrative around a simple premise, Maupassant crafts a cautionary tale that is as heartbreaking as it is unforgettable. This article will serve as a complete guide to the story, from its basic structure to its deeper symbolic meanings.

TO RUIN

The story begins with Mathilde Loisel, a beautiful and discontented woman who feels she was born into a life far beneath her station. She is married to a modest clerk in the Ministry of Education, a man who is kind and content with their simple life. Mathilde, however, suffers constantly. She feels the agony of her shabby apartment, worn-out furniture, and plain curtains. She dreams of grand salons, luxurious dinners, and being the envy of all.

One evening, Mathilde's husband arrives home with a triumphant expression. He has secured an invitation to a grand ball hosted by the Minister of Public Instruction. He expects Mathilde to be overjoyed, but instead, she is devastated. She has nothing suitable to wear. In an act of love and sacrifice, her husband gives her the four hundred francs he had been saving for a hunting rifle to buy a beautiful dress. Yet, the problem does not end there. Mathilde is still despondent because she has no jewelry to wear with the gown.

Her husband suggests she borrow something from her wealthy friend, Madame Forestier. Mathilde visits her friend and borrows a magnificent diamond necklace. At the ball, Mathilde is a triumph. She is the most beautiful woman present, sought after by all the men, and dances with wild, intoxicating joy. It is the pinnacle of her life.

However, the dream shatters on the way home. Realizing she has lost the

necklace, Mathilde and her husband are thrown into a panic. They search everywhere but cannot find it. They decide to replace it, a decision that plunges them into a decade of unimaginable poverty. They borrow heavily, drain their meager inheritance, and dismiss their servant. Mathilde and her husband move to a garret, and she takes on the brutal, manual labor of a common household drudge. She washes dishes, scrubs floors, and haggles over every sou. Her beauty fades as she becomes coarse and hardened.

After ten years of relentless hardship, the debt is finally paid. One day, Mathilde sees Madame Forestier in the park, still looking young and beautiful. Deciding to tell the truth, Mathilde approaches her and confesses the entire story of the lost and replaced necklace, explaining the decade of suffering it caused. Her friend is horrified. She grabs Mathilde's hands and utters the devastating final line: "Oh, my poor Mathilde! But mine was imitation. It was worth at most five hundred francs!"

The story ends with this brutal, ironic revelation, leaving the reader to contemplate the staggering cost of a simple lie and a misplaced sense of pride.

CHARACTER ANALYSIS: THE TWO FACES OF PRIDE

Mathilde Loisel: Victim of Her Own Ambition

Mathilde is the central figure of "The Necklace Short Story," and her character

is a complex study in pride, vanity, and self-pity. She is not inherently evil; rather, she is a deeply unhappy woman whose dreams are out of sync with her reality. Maupassant portrays her as a product of her environment, a woman who has been fed romantic ideals of wealth and luxury but lacks the means to achieve them. Her fatal flaw is her inability to accept her station in life. She believes she deserves more, and this belief poisons her present. Her pride prevents her from admitting the truth to Madame Forestier after the ball. Instead of confessing the loss, she chooses to sacrifice her future to maintain an illusion of integrity. This decision transforms her from a vain dreamer into a tragic figure of immense, unintended suffering. Her journey from a spoiled, discontented wife to a hardened, weary laborer is one of the most poignant character arcs in literature.

Monsieur Loisel: The Unsung Hero of Sacrifice

While Mathilde is often the focus of analysis, her husband, Monsieur Loisel, emerges as a deeply sympathetic character. He is a man of modest means and simple tastes, yet he consistently puts his wife's happiness above his own. He gives up his cherished dream of a hunting rifle so she can have a dress. He spends his days at the ball waiting in a half-cold room, content to see her happy. When the necklace is lost, he does not blame her or abandon her. Instead, he takes responsibility, spending his inheritance and working multiple jobs for a decade to pay off a

debt that was never his fault. Monsieur Loisel represents loyalty, stoicism, and unconditional love. He is the quiet, suffering anchor in a storm of Mathilde's making. His presence underscores the profound injustice of the story; he is punished as severely as his wife for a mistake she made.

Madame Forestier: The Unwitting Catalyst

Madame Forestier is a minor character but a crucial plot device. She is the wealthy friend who represents everything Mathilde desires. Importantly, she is generous and kind, lending the necklace without hesitation. Her final revelation—that the necklace was a fake—is not spoken with malice but with shock and pity. She is the agent of the story's devastating dramatic irony, highlighting the ultimate futility of the Loisels' decade of sacrifice. Her possession of a worthless imitation underscores the theme of deceptive appearances that runs throughout the story.

MAJOR THEMES AND SYMBOLISM IN THE NECKLACE

"The Necklace" is rich with thematic depth and powerful symbolism, which is why it remains a staple in literary studies and a beloved "The Necklace Short Story" for analysis.

Pride and Vanity

The central theme of the story is the destructive nature of pride. Mathilde's vanity is the engine that drives the plot. Her obsession with appearances and her refusal to be seen at the ball without fine jewelry leads directly to the tragedy. Her pride continues to rule her actions even after the loss; she cannot bear to admit to her friend that she lost the necklace, so she chooses a path of deception that ruins her life. The story serves as a stark warning against the dangers of prioritizing social status and outward appearance over honesty and contentment.

Appearance vs. Reality

Maupassant masterfully plays with the theme of appearance versus reality on multiple levels. On a literal level, the diamond necklace appears to be a priceless treasure, but it is actually a cheap imitation. The world of the ball is one of glittering surfaces, where everyone is wearing a mask. Mathilde herself undergoes a transformation in appearance; she looks beautiful at the ball but becomes ugly and coarse through her labor. The ultimate irony is that she sacrifices her real beauty for an illusion of beauty. The story challenges the reader to look beyond superficial appearances to find the true value of things—and of people.

The Cruelty of

Fate and Dramatic Irony

Perhaps the most powerful element of the story is its use of dramatic irony. The reader watches Mathilde and her husband struggle for ten years, knowing that their suffering is based on a mistake. The final line, revealing the necklace was a fake, is a gut-punch that highlights the absolute cruelty of fate. The Loisels' entire life was ruined because of a simple lack of communication and an overabundance of pride. This theme suggests that life is often arbitrary and unfair, and that our best-laid plans can be destroyed by a random twist of luck.

Poverty and Sacrifice

The story offers a stark, unromanticized portrayal of poverty. Maupassant does not flinch from describing the brutal realities of Mathilde's new life: the aching hands, the quarrels with the fruit seller, the grimy walls. Poverty is presented not as a noble state but as a grinding, dehumanizing force. The theme of sacrifice is also central; both Mathilde and her husband sacrifice their youth, health, and dreams for a mistaken sense of honor.

Symbolism: The Necklace Itself

The necklace is the central symbol of the story. On the surface, it represents wealth, status, and the world Mathilde

yearns to inhabit. However, its true nature as a fake symbolizes the deceptive nature of that entire world. The necklace also functions as a symbol of Mathilde's own false value system. She believes it makes her worthy, but in reality, it is the instrument of her destruction. When she loses it, she loses her identity. The chain of diamonds becomes a chain of debt and servitude binding her to a life she despises.

LITERARY TECHNIQUES AND STYLE

Guy de Maupassant is considered a master of the short story form, and "The Necklace" exemplifies his genius. His style is characterized by clarity, economy, and a detached, almost clinical observation of human folly.

- **Realism:** Maupassant was a leading figure in the Realist movement. He presents life as it is, without romanticization. The descriptions of Mathilde's apartment, her labor, and her transformation are brutally honest.
- **Dramatic Irony:** This is the story's most powerful tool. The audience knows what the characters do not, creating immense tension and a sense of tragic inevitability.
- **Foreshadowing:** Mathilde's initial discontent and her borrowing of the necklace foreshadow the coming disaster. Her husband's comment that she should "not touch" a piece of jewelry at the Forestier home hints at the risk she is taking.
- **Condensation:** Maupassant packs a tremendous amount of narrative and emotional weight into a few pages. The ten years of suffering

are condensed into a few vivid paragraphs, making the impact even more profound.

- **Third-Person Limited**

Narration: The story is told from a third-person perspective that stays close to Mathilde's thoughts and feelings. This allows the reader to understand her motivations while also seeing the irony of her situation.

HISTORICAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT

To fully appreciate "The Necklace Short Story," it is helpful to understand the French society in which it was written. The late 19th century in France was a period of rigid social class structure. The gap between the bourgeoisie and the working class was vast, and social mobility was extremely limited. For a woman like Mathilde, her value was almost entirely determined by her husband's income and her ability to display wealth. There was immense pressure on women to be beautiful and well-dressed to attract a suitable (wealthy) husband. The story is a critique of this materialistic society,

where appearances were everything and a person's worth was measured by their possessions. Maupassant is exposing the emptiness and danger of this value system.

WHY THE NECKLACE REMAINS RELEVANT TODAY

It might be tempting to dismiss "The Necklace" as a period piece, but its themes are remarkably modern. In our current age of social media, we are constantly bombarded with curated images of perfect lives, luxury, and success. The "highlight reel" of others can easily make us feel like Mathilde—dissatisfied with our own reality and yearning for something more. The story serves as a powerful cautionary tale for the digital age. It asks us to consider what we are willing to sacrifice to maintain an illusion of success. Are we going into debt, like the Loisels, to project an image of wealth we cannot afford? Are we losing our authentic selves in the pursuit of "likes" and followers? "The Necklace" is a timeless reminder that what glitters is very often not gold, and that the pursuit of superficial status